Quantum LDPC Lecture 1 Codes LDPC = low density parity check This term comes from classical coding theory so that is where we will start! Recap: Linear Codes A (classical) livear code C is a subspace of the vector space \mathbb{H}_2^n ie vectors of (1)length n with enlies in 20,13

and addition carried out mod 2.
We can specify C via its
parity-check matrix HEM (Fz)
ie H is an m by n makix
with entries in FZ.
We have C = her H
where $her H = \xi v \in \mathbb{H}_2^n$ s.t. $Hv = 0$
We interpret 0 as the zero vector
here. In wards, C contains all
rectors that have even overlap
with all the rows of H. (2) We call these vectors codewords (2)

Example: H = [110] means 011] "generated by"
$ker H = \langle (0,0,0)^{T}, (1,1,1)^{T} \rangle$
Twe note that O is always
in these H for any H to O
is always a code ward of any
liveer code
Our example is simply the
repetition code!
A liver code hers 3 important
on number of (physical) tits (3)

number of (encoded) bits ok also called the code dimension the code distance n d For $H \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ we have k = n - rank Hwhere we recall that the rank of a matrix is equal to the number of linearly independent rows (or columns) in the matrix. For $H = \begin{bmatrix} 110\\011 \end{bmatrix}$ rank H = 2 so k = 3 - 2 = 1 (4)

To compute the rank of a matrix we apply baussian elimination a so finding the dimension of a liner cocle is efficient. To define the code distance, we first recall the defn of the (Hamming) weight of a bring vector VE #2" wt(v) = # of non zero entries in vWe can then define the code (5)

a lover code distance of C to be $d = \min_{v \in C \setminus \{0\}} wt(v)$ ie the weight of the minimum crode warel. weight non zero For our example $C = \langle (0,0,0)^{\mathsf{T}}, (1,1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle$ and so d=3. We often refer to a lineer code using the shorthand [n, k, d].(6)

In contrast to the dimension, computing the code distance of a liver vode is NP-herel Tanner graphs A Tanner (or factor) graph 13 a convenient representation of the parity-check matrix of a lineur code. Given a pcm H, we add a check node I to the graph for each row of H and (7)

we add a variable noele O to the graph for each column 67 H. Then we connect variable node i to check j iff Hij = 7. In other words there is an edge between a check node and a variable node if the check acts non-trivially on the bit corresponding to the variable node. (\$)

 $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Example (Hamming's code) [7,4,3] Tanner graph Tanner graphs are often used for decoding liver codes. Given u t Fra we define the syndrome (vector) of u to be Hut Fr (1)

(For a code word Hu=0 by defn.) e.g. H= [10,0101] 0110011] $u = (1100000)^{\top}$ Hu = (110)Graphically The task of the decoder is to solve the optimization problem argmin wt(u) for a given u + Fzⁿ s.t. Hu = s (10)

The Tanner graph representation is convenient for applying graphical algerithms (e.g. Belief Propagation) to the decoding problem. Classical LDPC codes Let C be a family of livear codes indexed by a parameter L such that the L'th code in the family has parameters [n(L), k(L), d(L)] and pcm HL. (||)

We say that C is a good
code family it, in the
asymptotic limit,
k(L) = O(n(L))
d(L) = O(n(L))
We say that I is an (r,c) LDPC
code family if the row weight
and column weight of HL
are bounded by rec, respectively,
for all L.
Example : repetition code

Wichane
$H_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 6\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$H_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$H_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & & - & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
This is a (2,2) LDPC foundy.
whent are the parameters?
One can show (try it, not
have) that $k(L) = 1 \ e \ d(L) = n(L)$.
We can they are express the
permeter us $[n(L), 1, n(L)]$. (13)

The repetition code family . \ (LPPC but not yood. There do however exist familier et good LDPC coeles The fact, a randwomby generated mxn matrix w/ constant row and colum weight and m = Rn O(R<) will w/ high probability be a good code. These fourties are used in e.g. WiFi mol 5G! (14)

Quantum LDPC codes The definition analogous to the classical cone Let ZSL3 be a family of Stabilizer codes where the L'th code in the family has parameters EEn(L), k(L), d(L)]We say that the family is (w, q) LDPC if each stabilizer geverater hers maximm weight & w as each jubit hers jubit degree §9. (15)

We recall that the weight of a Pauli operator is the under of non identity factors in the operator. eg wt (XIXI) = 2wt(2771) = 3wt(XYZ)=3For a physical gubit in the code, its qubit degree i the under of stabilizer that all on it. This is analogues to the column weight of a (dassical) perity-check matrix. (16)

Quentur repetition code Example - 1, 122 (ZZI) Stubilizes) -- 122) n(L) = L[[L,1,1]] wele K(L) = 1d(L) = 1 (we can think of L as 1 ZI the length of a chain is a logical operator of physical qubits) This is a (2,2) foundy. You may have noticed that the LDPC property is not really a property of the code but rather a property of a set of stabilizer generators. (17)

The same is the mothe lineer code care (stabilizer generators -> party-cheete matrix) For a given stabilizer code there are many (exponential) possible sets of stabilizer generators. So we say that a code is (w,2)-LDPC if there exits 4 (w-q) - LOPC set of stabilizer generators for the code. This is hered to check in the general case! (18)

We fours on the sub class of CSS codes as they we easier to analyse and any non-CSS code can be bransformed that a CSS code with ant changing the scaling of the parameters Re call that we can write the stabilizer generators of a CSS code in bihang symplectic $H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{x} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{z} \end{bmatrix}$ form where $H_{X} \in M_{m_{X} \times n}(\overline{\mathbb{H}_{2}})$ $H_{t} \in M_{m_{t} \times n}(F_{2})$ (19)

and so $H \in M_{m \times 2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ where m=mx+mz This is another way of saying that for a CSS code there exists a set of stubilizer generators consisting of exclusively X-type and Z-type Pauli yserators. Given a set of Panh operators of a singhe type, we can represent each operator as an FZ vector using the mapping I-20, P->1 The commutation condition becomes $H_X H_Z^T = 0$. 20

For a CSS code we define we and ge to be the max row and cohum weight of Hx & we and ge to be the max row and colume weight of Hz. Then $w = max(w_X, w_Z)$ 9 \$ 9×+22 Example : Steane's code $H_{X} = H_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (the party-check matrix of the Hanning code) w = 4 $w_{x} = w_{z} = 4$ [77,1,3]q=6 (21) $q_{x} = q_{z} = 3$

Hx and Hz are the parity check matrices of times weller so we can use a lot of the same tools to study CSS codes. One can show k = n-rank Hx - rank Hz Mih wt(u)dx ut ker Hz / Im Hx min uther Hx / Im Hz wt(n) dz row space $d = \min(d_x, d_z)$ (22)

Why do we care about qLDPC coeles ? As you will see later in the course, when me consider circuit level enor models, the usik associated w/ measuring a stabiliser generally scales v/ its weight. Therefore, we expect codes w/ low-weight stabilizer generators to have superior performance In practice. (23)

Good gLDPC conjecture Can a stabilizer code family be both LDPC and good? Recall : a good code family has k(L) = O(n(L))d(L) = O(n(L))Examples k(-) = 1g Repetition code Bad! d(L) = 1Better! k(L)=2Tonc code $d(L) = \sqrt{n(L)}$ 24)

Hypergraph product codes k(L) = n(L)Even better but still vot "good $d(L) = \sqrt{n(L)}$ For 20 years the In distance of the twic code was essentially the best known distance for a gLDPC code. Building on the hypergraph product construction, There was a fliring of poyress in 2020-2021 culminating in a poper by Panteleev & Kalacher, who proved that good GLDPC cody exist!

Tanner graphs for CSS codes We can also draw Tamer graphs for CSS wells. Essentially we comple the Tamer graphs of HZ C $H_{\mathbf{X}}$ [[8,3,2]] code Example $H_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $H_{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 | 1 | 0 0 0 0 \\ 0 0 0 0 | 1 | 1 \\ 1 | 0 0 | 1 0 0 \\ 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 \end{bmatrix}$ This code was recently meet by researchers at Harvard in their breakthrough QEC experiment. (26)

.

1111] Ĺ l Hx × X slabilizer 、 ク C) sta

References

Quantum Low - Density Parity-Check Codes by Brenchmann & Eberhardt, PRX Q 040101, 2021. Asymptotically Good Quantum and Locally Testable Classical LDPC codes by Panteleev and Kalachen, STOC 2022. Warning: not an easy paper! See video by Ryan O'Donnell explaining the PK construction (28)https://youtu.be/k7LuOiOBYyQ?feature=shared